Asymptotic Behaviors of Projected Stochastic Approximation: A Jump Diffusion Perspective Jiadong Liang; Yuze Han; Xiang Li; Zhihua Zhang



Loopless Projection Stochastic Approximation

- We aim to solve the following problem $\min \mathbb{E}_{\zeta \sim \mathcal{D}} f(\boldsymbol{x}, \zeta) \text{ subject to } \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}$
- difference sequence $\{\xi_n\}$.
- Then we independently cast a coin with the head probability p_n and obtain $\omega_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_n)$. If $\omega_n = 1$, we perform one step of projection onto the null space of A^{\top} . Otherwise, we let $x_{n+1} = x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$.
- learning scenario.

• The LPSA first performs as $x_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = x_n - \eta_n \nabla f(x_n) + \eta_n \xi_n$ with a martingale

It's obvious that Local SGD is a specialized case of LPSA under federated



Convergence Rate Analysis

- Let $\eta_n = \eta_0 n^{-\alpha}$; $p_n = \min\{\eta_n^{\beta}, 1\}$ with $\beta \in [0, 1)$.
- Theorem 3.1 $\eta_0 > 2/\mu$ (μ is the strong convexity parameter), we have Where $u_n = \mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}}(x_n)$.
- cross 0.5.

Under appropriate assumptions, for (i) $0 < \alpha < 1$ or (ii) $\alpha = 1$ with $\mathbb{E} \| \boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{x}^{\star} \|^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\alpha \min\{1, 2-2\beta\}}\right)$

• As β decreases, i.e. the projection happens more frequently, $\mathbb{E} \| u_n - x^{\star} \|^2$ converges faster. What's more, we can find a phase transition when β goes

Asymptotic Behavior via Diffusion Approximation

• Frequent Projection $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$

• Let
$$\check{u}_n := \frac{u_n - x^*}{\sqrt{\eta_{n-1}}}$$
. And let $\overline{u}_t^{(n)}$ be the at \check{u}_n and takes value \check{u}_{n+m} at time p

The trajectory is presented in the form shown on the right.

The continuous random process which starts solve the starts of $\eta_n + \cdots + \eta_{n+m-1}$.



Asymptotic Behavior via Diffusion Approximation

- Theorem 3.3. Let regular assumptions hold. Then the sequence of random solution of the following SDE:
 - invariant distribution of this dynamics.

processes $\{\overline{u}_t^{(n)}: t \ge 0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to the stationary weak $d\mathbf{X}_{t} = -\mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \left(\nabla^{2} f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) - \frac{1}{2\eta_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha=1\}} \mathbf{I}_{d} \right) \mathbf{X}_{t} dt + \mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \Sigma \left(\mathbf{x}^{\star} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mathbf{W}_{t}.$ Further, the rescaled sequence $\left\{ \check{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to the



Asymptotic Behavior via Jump Approximation

- Occasional Projection $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$.
- which starts at \check{v}_n and take values $\check{v}_{n+m+\frac{1}{2}}$, \check{v}_{n+m+1} at time points $(\eta_n^{\beta} + \dots + \eta_{n+m-1}^{\beta}) -$ and $\eta_n^{\beta} + \dots + \eta_{n+m-1}^{\beta}$ respectively. \check{v}_{n+1}



• Let $v_n = \mathscr{P}_A(x_n)$ and $\check{v}_n = \eta_{n-1}^{\beta-1} v_n$. And we define $\overline{v}_t^{(n)}$ as the cadlag process



Asymptotic Behavior via Jump Approximation

- Theorem 3.4 Let regular assumptions hold. The processes $\{\overline{v}_t^{(n)}:t\geq 0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ weakly co of the following Jump-SDE: $d\mathbf{Y}_t = -\nabla f$
 - Further, the rescaled sequence $\{i\}$
 - distribution of this dynamics, i

Let regular assumptions hold. Then the sequence of cadlag stochastic processes $\{\overline{v}_t^{(n)}: t \ge 0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ weakly converges to the stationary weak solution

$$\begin{split} &f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right)dt - \mathbf{Y}_{t-} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\gamma}(dt). \\ &\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_{n} \Big\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ weakly converges to the invariant} \\ &\cdot \mathbf{e.}, \ -\frac{\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right)}{\left\| \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right) \right\|} \cdot \mathscr{C}\left(\frac{\left\| \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right) \right\|}{\gamma}\right) \end{split}$$

Asymptotic Behavior via Jump Approximation

- Corollary 1 Let regular assumptions hold. Then for $\beta \in (1/2,1)$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_{n} := \eta_{n-1}^{\beta-1} \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n} - \boldsymbol{x}^{\star} \right) \text{ converges to a non-zero vector} \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \left(\nabla^{2} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right) - \frac{1-\beta}{\eta_{0}} \boldsymbol{1}_{\{\alpha=1\}} \mathbf{I} \right) \mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \right\}^{\dagger} \left(\mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \nabla^{2} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right) \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\star}\right) \right)$
- algorithm has an interesting bias-variance tradeoff.

$$\left\{ \mathscr{P}_{A^{\perp}} \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{\star}) \right\}$$

• Remark: From the above derivation, for the choice $p_n \propto \eta_n^{\beta}$, when β varies, our



Interesting Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- Order of fluctuation: $\mathcal{O}(\eta_n^{1/2})$
- Order of Bias: $\mathcal{O}(\eta_n^{1-\beta})$
- When $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$ dominates the optimization accuracy.
- When $\beta \in [1/2,1)$ unconstrained state within each 'inner loop'

The fluctuation caused by the randomness of gradient queries in every iteration

Manipulated by the biases formed by the accumulation of skewed updates in the

